

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,769	03/04/2004	Dennis Rivard	PA-218	4413	
21920 75	90 04/14/2005		EXAMINER		
•	CKMON & VOORHE	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A			
673 S. WASHII ALEXANDRIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ADDAMORA	1, 11 1 22311		3754		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/14/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/791,76	§9	RIVARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joseph A.	Kaufman	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. of days, a reply within the state of the control	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO	days will be considered time om the mailing date of this const	ly. communication.			
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7,12,13,15,17 and 18</u> is/a Claim(s) <u>8-11,14,16 and 19</u> is/are ob Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co re rejected. jected to.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pi mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ser No(s)/Mail Date 3/4/04,7/14/04.		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the legal term "means" on line 4. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7, line 4, line "5-5" is not shown in the drawings; and on line 16; line "10-10" is not shown in the drawings. On page 11, line 14, numeral "13" is not shown in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-7, 12, 13, 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burrows '961 in view of O'Brien.

Art Unit: 3754

Burrows shows a valve assembly 10; water cooler 14; adapter 16; vent passageway 86; float 92; filter 98; and conduit 90. Burrows lacks the details of the float assembly. O'Brien shows an actuator arm 14 having a float that opens vent passage/conduit 12 by valve/sealing element 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the arm valve structure of O'Brien for the venting structure of Burrows as they are equivalent venting devices and the venting structure of O'Brien would simplify the construction and manufacture of the Burrows device. Note, the different shapes for the float would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill as one would clearly see the benefit to make the float in a shape most optimal to fit into a particular shaped space. Also, the tapered portion for the vent passage is taught by O'Brien at vent passage 13; such a structure being an obvious and well known way to encourage mating/sealing between parts.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 20 and 21 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 8-11, 14, 16 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takagawa, Sunnarborg, Schwartz et al., Snowball, Tan et al., and Hinch show other venting structures.

Art Unit: 3754

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

4/2/25

jak April 13, 2005